### <u>Governor's</u> <u>Intergovernmental Commission</u> <u>for Agriculture</u>

*Report to Governor Martin O'Malley* 

December, 2009

### Earl F. Hance Secretary Maryland Department of Agriculture

and Chair Governor's Intergovernmental Commission for Agriculture

### **Governor's Intergovernmental Commission for Agriculture**

Report to Governor Martin O'Malley November, 2009

The Governor's Intergovernmental Commission for Agriculture (GICA) was established on June 29, 2006, to "promote the economic profitability of agriculture in the State by ensuring that all appropriate State agencies work in a cooperative, coordinated manner with local government and industry groups in planning, implementing, overseeing and evaluating intergovernmental initiatives related to agricultural affairs of the State."

The Commission currently has 25 duly appointed members (APPENDIX A). As stated in the 2008 report, the Commission decided to pursue the following goals for 2008-2009:

- 1. Provide recommendations for the State Development Plan and work on implementing the issues raised by the Statewide Plan for Agriculture.
- 2. Follow up with the State Fire Marshall if any additional information is needed.
- 3. Host a seminar on value-added agriculture products during the MACO Summer Conference
- 4. Assist Maryland wineries with navigation of state and local requirements.
- 5. Monitor water resource issues.

### **Bi-Annual Meetings**

There were two meetings held – June 4, 2009 and October 22, 2009. During the June meeting, Committee members heard from Ginger Myers, with the University of Maryland Extension. Her presentation focused on the assessment and comparison of regional on-farm processing regulations to develop a state food policy that accommodates small-scale processing. Through her leadership, the University of Maryland has created the Maryland Rural Enterprise Development Center, a one-stop virtual business development center (www.mredc.umd.edu) to help farmers grow or start a business and to navigate through the regulatory process. GICA members also heard from a representative of the Maryland Wineries Association, Regina Reilly. She referenced the many regulatory impediments that wineries face at this time. The wineries are working with representatives of the liquor industry to address some of these concerns and hope to come to a consensus on a legislative proposal during the 2010 session. During the same meeting, the GICA planning/zoning subcommittee announced it was working with the Maryland Association of Counties to develop a survey on county planning and zoning regulations. Finally, Les Knapp with the Maryland Association of Counties (MACO) announced that at its annual Summer Conference there would be a session on the viability of Maryland Agriculture.

During the October meeting, the Commission held a listening session, facilitated by Maryland Department of Agriculture (MDA) Secretary and chair of the GICA, Buddy Hance, and Gabe Zepp, Carroll County agricultural specialist, as part of the Maryland Rural Roundtable. The Commission invited members of the public to discuss regulatory issues impacting agricultural viability. There was good representation from state officials, county officials, agricultural organizations and farmers. These were the issues discussed:

St. Mary's County purchased a 150 acre farm, in order to preserve the area along the Chesapeake Bay. The County wants to use it for a farmer's market. Issues impacting the market moving forward are: stormwater management, highway access, etc which is driving up the cost of the project. They were getting ready to meet with the State Highway Administration about highway access issues.

A dairy farmer from Garrett County spoke of the need to align with the business community. There was a

fair amount of discussion about natural gas drilling in Garrett County. Ongoing issues include land preservation and water resources. There are potential revenue opportunities but environmental concerns were raised as well (traffic, wells being left on the land, and the concern about the environmental impact on the land.)

Several county representatives also raised concerns about the Maryland Department of Planning (MDP)'s input into zoning issues at the local level and its use of Maryland Agricultural Land Preservation Foundation (MALPF) funding as a stick. Representatives from the two counties that commented, Queen Anne's County and St. Mary's County, believe that zoning and planning should be done at the local level. They noted that five counties in Maryland are in the top ten for agricultural land preservation nationwide and that MDP was still giving the counties a difficult time.

### 2008-2009 GICA Activities

The Governor's Intergovernmental Commission for Agriculture focused on five activities:

- 1. Provide recommendations for the State Development Plan and work on implementing the issues raised by the Statewide Plan for Agriculture.
- 2. Follow up with the State Fire Marshall if any additional information is needed.
- 3. Host a seminar on value-added agriculture products during the MACO Summer Conference
- 4. Assist Maryland wineries with navigation of state and local requirements.
- 5. Monitor water resource issues.

## Provide recommendations for the State Development Plan and work on implementing the issues raised by the Statewide Plan for Agriculture.

In 2008, the GICA created a planning and zoning subcommittee to look at various issues impacting agriculture. The first thing that the subcommittee did was develop a survey for county planning and zoning officials to respond to. A copy of the survey's summary can be found in Appendix B. Within the context of this subcommittee's work are plans to create a toolkit, based on the recommendations of the statewide plan for agriculture. The toolkit will include information on current regulations, contact information at the state and local level and some potential recommendations for model regulations.

### Follow up with the State Fire Marshall if additional information is needed.

In 2008, the State Fire Marshall appointed an ombudsman to be the point of contact for agriculture. No additional issues of concern have been raised at this time.

### Host a seminar on value-added agriculture products during the MACO Summer Conference

The Maryland Association of Counties invited the following speakers to be part of a panel on the viability of Maryland agriculture. Mark Powell, Chief of Marketing for the Maryland Department of Agriculture, was part of the panel. Other panelists included Ginger Myers and Christine Bergmark from the Southern Maryland Agricultural Commission. Mr. Powell provided an overview of agriculture in Maryland and opportunities that exist for value-added products as well as buying locally grown foods. Ms. Myers talked primarily about regulatory problems confronting farms. And Ms. Bergmark talked about some of the ongoing programs in Southern Maryland

### Assist Maryland wineries with navigation of state and local requirements

The GICA hosted the Maryland Wineries Association at their June meeting. The Executive Director of the Wineries Association also participated in the October 22 listening session. Members of the GICA both at the state and federal level have offered to help navigate through the local regulatory process. The GICA will continue to work with the Maryland Wineries to provide them with opportunities to publicize the issues impacting wineries. There also may be some potential legislation during the 2010 session put

forward by the wineries to address some of the zoning issues faced by wineries. The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, an active member of the GICA, has been very engaged in outreach to local health departments.

### **Monitor Water Resource Issues.**

This will be a long-term issue for the GICA to review.

For 2009-2010, the GICA will focus on the following:

- Continued development of a toolkit to assist local areas.
- Provide input into the development of the Statewide development plan.
- Develop strategies to help farmers in non-traditional agriculture, such as has been done with wineries.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this annual report.

### Appendix A

# Governor's Intergovernmental Commission for Agriculture 2009 Members

Secretary Earl F. Hance (chair)	Maryland Department of Agriculture
Appointed Members	
Dr. Clark S. Aist	Producer, Southern Maryland
Kenneth Bounds	MidAtlantic Farm Credit
Cheryl DeBerry	Agricultural Specialist, Garrett County
Jerome Faulring	Maryland Agricultural Commission
Vanessa Finney	Maryland Nursery and Landscape Assoc.
J. Robert Frazee	MARBIDCO
Rodney Glotfelty	MD Association of County Health Officials
Daniel T. Magness	Producer, Harford County
Thomas Mattingly	MD Association of Counties
James L. McCarron	MD Municipal League
Vacant	Rural Maryland Council
Gail Webb Owings	MD Association of County Planning Officials
Pamela Saul	Maryland Horse Industry Board
Jim Steele	Maryland Farm Bureau
Gabe Zepp	Agricultural Specialist, Carroll County
State Agency Secretaries/Deans	
Secretary John Griffin	Department of Natural Resources
Secretary John Colmers	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene
Secretary David Edgerley	Department of Business and Economic Development
Secretary Shari Wilson	Department of the Environment
Secretary Richard E. Hall	Department of Planning
Dr. Cheng-I Wei	College of Agriculture and Natural Resources

### Appendix B

### Summary of Responses to Survey of County Farming and Land Use Practices October 2009

A total of 15 counties have submitted responses to date. Those counties are: Allegany, Calvert, Caroline, Carroll, Charles, Dorchester, Frederick, Garrett, Harford, Kent, St. Mary's, Somerset, Talbot, Washington, and Worcester

### Question 1

### Products that qualify as farm or agricultural products – with definition

	# Counties	5		
Product	By Right	Sp.	Condition	Prohibited
		Exception	al use	
Grain and field crops	15			
Livestock and Poultry	14		1	
Horses	14	4	1	1-per zoning
Fruits, nuts, vegetables	15			
Ornamental plants	14	2		
Seafood/Aquaculture	12	2	2	2
Wine	10	4	1	2
Honey	12	2		
Timber	15			
Earthworms	12			
Other				
	.1 1.0			

Some counties have more than one definition

#### Question 2 Permitted Uses

	<b>D D</b> 1			<b>N</b> 1 11 1 1
Use	By Right	Sp. Exception	Conditional Use	Prohibited
Year Round Dwellings (family/employee	15			
Seasonal Dwelling (employee housing)	7	7	1	1
All agricultural bldgs and activities	14	3	1	
Roadside stands for sale of products grown	13	4	2	
on premises				
Ag processing and warehousing	5	7	2	2
Slaughter facilities	1	8	2	3
Riding stables, boarding & training	11	8		
facilities				
Farm-oriented recreation (petting zoos,	10	2	1	2
mazes)				
Other recreational uses	6	5	3	1
Hospitality activities	4	1	4	2
Composting of materials brought onto farm	7	5	3	1
Wineries	7	5	3	3
Bed and Breakfast facilities	4	10	3	1
Woodlots and tree farms	15			
Signs subject to certain provisions	15			
Greenhouses	14	5		

Sawmills	7	8		
Sod and topsoils for resale	13	2	1	
Other				

Some counties have more than one definition

### Setbacks

Residential Buildings	30-75 feet (front) 10-50 feet (side) 35-50 feet (rear
Commercial buildings	40-100 feet
Farm buildings	40-75 feet
Other	no responses

### **Reverse Setbacks**

13 responded –None 1 requires 50 feet for planted trees, 1 requires 50 feet for active farming

What factors most impact your county's ability to achieve state goals?		
Community knowledge	Helped	
Community Support	Helped	
Local Funding	Split between Helped and Hindered	
Exception or variances	No Impact	

All but one county posts farm-related zoning regulations on their websites